Integrated Management System

Planning Approval Consistency Assessment Form

SM ES-FT-414

Sydney Metro Integrated Management System (IMS)

Assessment Name:	Relocation of a crossover cavern to Pyrmont and tunnel alignment optimisation
Prepared by:	Sydney Metro
Prepared for:	Sydney Metro and John Holland CPB Contractors Ghella Joint Venture (JCG)
Assessment number:	SMW07
Type of assessment:	Assessment under EP&A Act 1979, Division 5.2
Version:	Final
Planning approval No. (where relevant):	SSI – 19238057
Date required:	30 January 2023
iCentral number	SM-23-00014470

Form information – do not alter

Form number	SM ES-FT-414
Applicable to:	Sydney Metro
Document Owner:	Associate Director, Planning Approvals
System Owner:	Executive Director, Environment, Sustainability & Planning
Status:	Final
Version:	3.0
Date of issue:	AUGUST 2022
Review date:	As required

Table of Contents

1. Existing Approved Project

Planning approval reference details (Application/Document No. (including modifications)):			
SSI-19238057: Sydney Metro West – Major civil construction between The Bays to Sydney CBD (Stage 2 of the planning approval process for Sydney Metro West)			
Date of determination:	Stage 2 – 24 August 2022	**Type of planning approval:**	Critical State Significant Infrastructure (CSSI) (Division 5.2)
Relevant background information (including EA, REF, Submissions Report, Director General's Report, MCoA):			
Sydney Metro West Environmental Impact Statement – Concept and Stage 1 (major civil construction between Westmead and The Bays) (Sydney Metro, April 2020) (EIS 1) *Sydney Metro West – Concept and Stage 1 Conditions of Approval (SSI 10038)* (11 March 2021) *Sydney Metro West Environmental Impact Statement – Major civil construction between The Bays and Sydney CBD* (Sydney Metro, November 2021) (referred to throughout this document as 'the EIS') *Sydney Metro West Submissions Report – Major civil construction work between The Bays and Sydney CBD* (Sydney Metro, April 2022) *Sydney Metro West Stage 2 - Assessment Report (SSI 19238057) (*24 August 2022*)* *Sydney Metro West Stage 2 – Instrument of Approval - Conditions of approval (CoA) (*24 August 2022*)* *Sydney Metro West Stage 2 – Modification Request (Mod 1 Request)* - (February 2023) *Sydney Metro West Environmental Impact Statement – Rail infrastructure, stations, precincts and operations (SSI-22765520*) (Sydney Metro, March 2022) All proposed work identified in the assessment would be carried out in accordance with the mitigation measures identified in the *Sydney Metro West Environmental Impact Statement – Major civil construction between The Bays and Sydney CBD (*EIS Stage 2*)*, Submissions Report and the Conditions of Approval (CoA). The Sydney Metro West Stage 2 – Modification Request (Mod 1 Request) is subject to determination from the Department of Planning and Environment.		
Description of existing Approved Project you are assessing for consistency:			
Sydney Metro West (the Concept) Sydney Metro West (the Concept) involves the construction and operation of a metro rail line around 24 kilometres long between Westmead and Hunter Street in the Sydney central business district (CBD). The key components for the Approved Concept include: • Construction and operation of new passenger rail infrastructure between Westmead and the CBD of Sydney, including: ○ Tunnels, stations (including surrounding areas) and associated rail facilities			

- Stabling and maintenance facilities (including associated underground and overground connections to tunnels)
- Modification of existing rail infrastructure (including stations and surrounding areas)
- Ancillary development.

The indicative alignment and proposed station locations are shown on Figure 6-1 of the Environmental Impact Statement for Sydney Metro West Concept and Stage 1 (major civil construction between Westmead and The Bays). The Approved Concept identified that tunnel excavation would be mainly carried out using tunnel boring machines, with roadheaders used for caverns, stub tunnels and connection tunnels from the stabling and maintenance facility to the mainline tunnels via the Rosehill dive structure.

Sydney Metro West - all major civil construction work between Westmead and The Bays (Stage 1)

Sydney Metro West – Concept and Stage 1 (major civil construction between Westmead and The Bays), including station excavation and tunnelling, was determined on 11 March 2021.

It is noted that this Consistency Assessment does not relate to any aspects of Stage 1.

Sydney Metro West - all major civil construction work and tunnelling between The Bays and Sydney CBD (Stage 2, the Approved Project)

The major civil construction work between The Bays and Sydney CBD was determined on 24 August 2022. The scope of the Approved Project includes:

- Enabling work such as demolition, utility supply to construction sites, utility adjustments, and modifications to the existing transport network
- Tunnel excavation including tunnel support activities
- Station excavation for new metro stations at Pyrmont and at Hunter Street, in the Sydney CBD

Tunnel alignment for the Approved Project

Section 5 of the EIS for the Approved Project described the tunnel excavation works and identified the indicative location of the tunnel alignment (Figure 5-1 to Figure 5-3 of the EIS). The total tunnel length between The Bays and Sydney CBD is about 3.5 kilometres. The sections of the indicative tunnel alignment relevant to this Consistency Assessment are shown in Figure 4 of this Consistency Assessment.

Tunnelling by tunnel boring machines

Around 2.3 kilometres of the tunnel alignment will be excavated by tunnel boring machines. The two bored tunnels would have a circular cross-section with an internal lined diameter of about six metres and an excavated diameter of about seven metres. The centre lines of the two tracks would typically be about 14 metres apart, however this would depend on specific geological constraints and the need to avoid building basements. The tunnels would be lined with precast concrete segments to ensure the long term life of the asset and minimise groundwater inflow into the tunnel. The depth of the tunnels would vary from about 15 to 50 metres deep due to changes in topography.

Tunnelling by means other than tunnel boring machines (non-TBM tunnelling)

As specified in Table 5-5 of the EIS, the following tunnel features will be excavated using roadheaders and rock hammers:

- Crossover cavern east of The Bays tunnel launch and support site

- Cross passages between the two tunnels to allow for emergency access
- Tunnel turnback at the end of the line, east of the eastern Hunter Street Station (Sydney CBD) construction site, to allow for the future operational ability to turn trains around for services travelling from the Hunter Street Station (Sydney CBD) west towards Westmead
- Stub tunnels to safeguard a potential future extension to the Metro network.

Crossover cavern for the Approved Project

The *Sydney Metro West Environmental Impact Statement – Sydney Metro West – The Bays to Sydney CBD* identified and assessed a crossover cavern to be located to the east of The Bays Station. The crossover cavern at The Bays Station was identified in section 5.4.3 of the EIS. The crossover cavern will provide a crossover point between The Bays Station and Pyrmont Station, to enable a train to cross between two parallel tracks for use in degraded operations due to maintenance, breakdowns or other emergencies. Crossovers are provided at various points along the alignment and are needed to provide service reliability and safety.

Construction period for the tunnelling works associated with the Approved Project

Sydney Metro West Environmental Impact Statement – Sydney Metro West – The Bays to Sydney CBD

The EIS for the Approved Project identified that tunnelling is proposed to occur from early 2024 to early 2025. The crossover cavern excavation was anticipated to be carried out alongside site setup and enabling work at The Bays Station to prepare for the launch of the tunnel boring machines.

The project description in the EIS for the Approved Project indicates that tunnelling (including associated excavation such as crossover cavern excavation) would occur 24 hours per day, seven days per week.

Condition D23 identifies variations to the construction hours identified in Condition D21 and allows for tunnelling by tunnel boring machine (excluding cut and cover tunnelling and surface works) to be undertaken 24 hours a day, seven days a week.

Sydney Metro West Stage 2 – Modification Request (Mod 1 Request)

Sydney Metro has submitted a Modification Request to the Department of Planning and Environment to enable tunnelling by other means including rockbreaker and roadheader (i.e. non-TBM tunnelling) to also be undertaken 24 hours a day, seven days a week. This would align with the assessment provided in the EIS for the Approved Project and is consistent with the construction of all recent tunnel projects in Sydney including Sydney Metro West - Major civil construction between Westmead and The Bays.

The Modification Request is expected to be placed on public exhibition in February 2023 and would then be subject to assessment and determination by the Department of Planning and Environment. This Consistency Assessment considers both the Approved Project and the project as proposed as part of the Modification Request.

Sydney Metro West - Rail infrastructure, stations, precincts and operations (Stage 3)

The EIS for Sydney Metro West - Rail infrastructure, stations, precincts and operations was on public exhibition from 23 March to 4 May 2022. Assessment by the Department of Planning and Environment is currently underway. The proposal includes tunnel fit-out, construction of stations, ancillary facilities and station precincts, and operation and maintenance of the Sydney Metro West line. Operational impacts associated with the proposed change will be assessed separately following

approval of Stage 3 (SSI-22765520). An assessment of the crossover cavern and tunnel alignment at a conceptual level has been undertaken in this Consistency Assessment.

2. Description of proposed change which is the subject of this assessment

The purpose of this Consistency Assessment is to assess the following proposed change to the Approved Project:

- Relocation of the crossover cavern from The Bays Station (east of The Bays Station box) to the western end of Pyrmont Station (refer Figure 6)
- Minor realignment of the tunnels to:
    - Achieve a crossover in-line and at grade with the future station platforms
    - Minimise horizontal curves along the tunnel alignment to improve operational efficiencies.

Tunnel realignment

The construction methodology of the revised tunnel alignment by tunnel boring machine would remain unchanged. This Consistency Assessment relates to the tunnel alignment sections between The Bays and the western Hunter Street Station site. A separate Consistency Assessment was approved in September 2022 (SMW05) which changed the tunnel alignment between the eastern Hunter Street station site and the turnback stubs (under The Domain). This consistency assessment does not change nor impact the revised tunnel alignment for the area approved in SMW05.

Pyrmont crossover

The construction methodology of the crossover cavern (including construction plant and equipment) would remain generally unchanged in its revised location.

The construction of the crossover cavern at Pyrmont would initially be carried out by continuing the station cavern heading excavation westwards following the completion of the station cavern heading. The crossover cavern works at Pyrmont would be supported by both the Pyrmont Station western and eastern construction sites, to facilitate the parallel construction of both the station cavern excavation and the crossover excavation works without impacting the construction program.

The proposal provides a net reduction in crossover cavern length compared to the location identified in the Approved Project at The Bays, resulting from the revised horizontal alignment and vertical alignment of the tunnels at Pyrmont. This would result in a reduction in the amount of excavation required and timeframe for non-TBM tunnelling works for the project as a whole.

However, whilst there is a decrease in excavation by non-TBM tunnelling required for the project as a whole, there would be an increase at Pyrmont. Reducing the crossover cavern length helps improve safety in design outcomes by flattening the grade that the cavern is constructed on and straightening the rail alignment.

Table 1 - Comparison of the proposal with relevant elements of the Approved Project

Relevant elements of the Approved Project	Proposed change
Tunnel alignment Section 5 of the EIS for the Approved Project identified the indicative location of the tunnel alignment (Figure 5-1 to Figure 5-3 of the EIS). The total tunnel length between The Bays and Sydney CBD is about 3.5 kilometres, of which about 2.3 kilometres will be excavated by tunnel boring machines. The sections of the indicative tunnel alignment relevant to this Consistency Assessment are shown in Figure 5.	This Consistency Assessment includes minor tunnel realignments between The Bays and Hunter Street Stations. The relevant sections of the approved tunnel alignment, and the proposed revised tunnel alignment is shown in Figure 4 and 5. The proposed change in tunnel alignment is located entirely underground (with a maximum realignment of around 16 metres) and the depths of the realigned tunnels would be similar to the relevant sections of the approved tunnel alignment (refer Figure 5)
Tunnel features The location and scope of works required for the crossover cavern has been identified in Chapter 5 (Project description) of the EIS. It notes that The Bays tunnel launch and support site would be used to mine a crossover cavern to the east of The Bays Station excavation box. Roadheaders at the bottom of The Bays Station excavation box would mine a crossover cavern about 200 metres long, east of the station excavation box. The crossover cavern excavation would be carried out alongside site setup and enabling work to prepare for the launch of the tunnel boring machines. Refer to Figure 4 below for the indicative alignment plan showing the crossover cavern location at The Bays Station site as assessed in the EIS for the Approved Project.	The crossover cavern would be relocated from The Bays Station to the western end of Pyrmont Station. The excavation of the crossover cavern relocation would be supported by the Pyrmont Station construction sites. The proposed location of the crossover cavern is shown below in Figure 2. The construction of the crossover cavern at Pyrmont would be carried out by continuing the station cavern heading excavation westwards after the station cavern heading is complete. Roadheaders will be used to mine the crossover cavern, which would be approximately 148 metres long. There would be an overall reduction in non-TBM tunnelling required for the Approved Project by approximately 77 metres due to the relocation (noting this would instead be excavated by TBM).
Spoil generation Indicative spoil generation of the Approved Project is outlined in Section 5.4.3 of the EIS. The EIS identified that about 306,000 m^3 of spoil would be removed from The Bays tunnel launch and support site, including about 43,700m^3 from the crossover cavern excavation.	The total spoil generation amount for the crossover cavern at Pyrmont would be approximately 40,000m^3. The proposal would provide a reduction in construction waste (spoil generation) within a shorter crossover cavern and permanent materials use such as concrete for permanent works would be reduced by over 5,000m^3 (subject to final design) compared to the crossover cavern at The Bays in the Approved Project.

Heavy vehicles

To address discrepancies in the construction vehicle movements presented in the EIS, a re-assessment was undertaken during the preparation of the Response to Submissions Report. The outcomes of the assessment were detailed in a Traffic Update memo which was prepared by Jacobs on 4 May 2022 with the summary of the assessment findings included in the Response to Submissions Report for the Approved Project.

The heavy vehicle movement volumes assessed in the Response to Submissions Report for the Pyrmont construction sites are shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2.



Figure 1 Pyrmont western site heavy vehicle movements as assessed in the Response to Submissions Report

The proposed crossover cavern works would result in:

- No changes to the hourly or daily maximum heavy vehicle movements from the eastern construction site
- A maximum of 16 heavy vehicle movements per hour would be required during the daytime for Phase 3 works to/from the western construction site, which would include crossover cavern excavation. There would also be fewer heavy vehicle movements in the morning road network peak period, consistent with the Phase 1 and Phase 2 works. The maximum heavy vehicle movements required for each hour would be consistent with the Phase 2 works (western site) as assessed in the Response to Submissions Report/Traffic Update memo for the Approved Project
- Reduced total number of construction vehicles required at The Bays.

In summary, whilst there is an increase in the daily and hourly vehicle movements at the Pyrmont Station western construction site during the Phase 3 works, the numbers are consistent with the daily and hourly maximum vehicles assessed within the Response to Submissions Report for the Approved Project. The revised required numbers for the western site are shown in Figure 3 below.



Figure 2 Pyrmont eastern site heavy vehicle movements as assessed in the Response to Submissions Report

Note: The bar chart estimates refer to truck movements. A movement means a one-way therefore, a truck entering then leaving the work site represents two movements.



Figure 3 Proposed change - Pyrmont western site heavy vehicle movements

Note: The bar chart estimates refer to truck movements. A movement means a one-way, therefore a truck entering then leaving the work site represents two movements.

Timing The EIS for the Approved Project identified that the crossover cavern excavation at The Bays would be carried out alongside site setup and enabling work to prepare for the launch of the tunnel boring machines. Tunnelling is proposed to occur from early 2024 to early 2025. Some construction work, including crossover cavern concrete lining work, may take place within The Bays tunnel launch and support site until late 2025.	The proposal would not result in any changes to the indicative construction program as shown in Figure 5-6 of the EIS for the Approved Project. The crossover cavern excavation would commence Q1 2024 and construction of the crossover cavern is predicted to be completed by the end of Q3 2025. This is consistent with the indicative construction program for the Approved Project.

Hours of work The project description in the EIS for the Approved Project indicates that tunnelling (including associated excavation such as crossover cavern excavation) would occur 24 hours per day, seven days per week. The construction hours identified in Condition of Approval D21 for the Approved Project are: (a) 7:00am to 6:00pm Mondays to Fridays, inclusive; (b) 8:00am to 6:00pm Saturdays; and (c) at no time on Sundays or public holidays. Condition of Approval D23 however allows for tunnelling by tunnel boring machine (excluding cut and cover tunnelling and surface works) to be undertaken 24 hours a day, seven days a week.	Sydney Metro has submitted a Modification Request to the Department of Planning and Environment to enable tunnelling by other means including rockbreaker and roadheader (i.e. non-TBM tunnelling) to also be permitted 24 hours a day, seven days a week. This would align with the assessment provided in the EIS for the Approved Project and is consistent with the construction of all recent tunnel projects in Sydney including Sydney Metro West - Major civil construction between Westmead and The Bays. Should this Modification Request be determined, Sydney Metro would undertake tunnelling by both tunnel boring machine and non-TBM tunnelling 24 hours per day, seven days a week. Restricting non-TBM tunnelling works to daytime would result in a substantial program delay to Sydney Metro West, including to the opening of the line to passenger services. This would have flow on impacts including prolonged construction impacts and disruption for receivers across the whole Sydney Metro West alignment and the later realisation of the substantial operational benefits of Sydney Metro West. This assessment has also included the potential impacts of out of hours works should the Modification Request be determined to allow non-TBM tunnelling 24 hours a day, seven days a week.



Figure 4 EIS indicative alignment plan and proposed changes



Figure 5 Amended Sydney Metro West tunnel alignment



Figure 6 Location and design of the proposed Pyrmont crossover cavern



Figure 7 Revised indicative track configuration for Sydney Metro West

3. Timeframe

An indicative construction program for the major civil construction work between The Bays and Sydney CBD is shown in Figure 5-6 of the EIS. Section 5.3 of the EIS notes that the actual program and commencement of the civil work at each construction site may vary and is subject to ongoing design development and construction planning to be agreed with the successful contractor for each work package. The proposed change subject to this Consistency Assessment would not result in any changes to the Approved Project delivery timeframe and indicative construction program, with construction commencing around Q1 2023.

4. Site description

Crossover cavern

The proposal includes a revised construction location for the crossover cavern (from The Bays to Pyrmont). The proposed changes would be limited to substratum tunnelling and below ground spaces.

The proposed work would be located at the Pyrmont Station constructions sites which are located on Pyrmont Bridge Road near the centre of the Pyrmont Peninsula:

- The Pyrmont Station western construction site (Lot 10/-/DP1028280) – covers about 1,250 square metres and is located between Paternoster Row and Pyrmont Street, immediately north of Pyrmont Bridge Road.
- The Pyrmont Station eastern construction site (Lot 1/-/DP620352 and 1/-/DP657429) – covers about 2,600 square metres and is located between Edward Street, Union Street and Pyrmont Bridge Road.

Section 5.4.4 of the EIS provides a detailed description of the Pyrmont Station construction sites which both have frontage to Pyrmont Bridge Road. The properties on these construction sites have been acquired by Sydney Metro and would be demolished as a part of the Approved Project as described in Chapter 5 (Project Description) of the EIS. The proposed changes associated with this Consistency Assessment would be substratum only and the surface level footprint of the approved Pyrmont Station construction sites would not change.

As described in Section 10.5.1 of the EIS, it would be necessary to acquire land below the surface of properties for the construction of the tunnels, adits, cross passages and caverns (substratum acquisition). Figure 10-1 of the EIS shows an indicative example of the extent of the substratum to be acquired around the tunnels. The indicative depth of the tunnel alignment is shown in Figure 5-2 and Figure 5-3 of the EIS. As a result of the change in location for the crossover cavern which would require a slightly wider tunnel, there would be minor additional substratum acquisition in Pyrmont required.

Tunnel realignment

Refer to Figure 4 for the indicative tunnel alignment as assessed in the EIS for the Approved Project. The revised tunnel alignment is shown in Figure 5 which shows minor realignments required around Pyrmont and Darling Harbour. The proposed change in tunnel alignment would be substratum only (entirely underground) and the surface level footprint of the approved Pyrmont Station construction sites would not change.

5. Site Environmental Characteristics

The Pyrmont Station construction sites are located within a dense urban area with low and medium-rise character terrace buildings, modern commercial and residential buildings, medium and high-density apartments and former warehouse buildings and local hotels at prominent corner sites.

There are areas of local and regional visual sensitivity with the western construction site being within a heritage conservation area and the eastern construction site being visible from the State listed heritage item Pyrmont Bridge. The crossover cavern would be located beneath the heritage conservation area.

There are no known Aboriginal heritage sites (AHIMS registered sites) within 200 metres of the Pyrmont Station construction sites. The closest registered AHIMS site to the construction site is approximately 270 metres west of the western construction site within the former foreshore of Blackwattle Bay.

6. Justification for the proposed change

The EIS for the Approved Project included an indicative design of the tunnel that was subject to design development and construction planning. A review of the tunnel alignment including the crossover cavern location was undertaken to optimise the design.

As a result of the review, a revised location of the crossover cavern was identified at Pyrmont which would provide the following benefits:

- The Pyrmont location would provide a more suitable disembarkment location for customers in the event of degraded mode operations or an emergency evacuation, as the site is more connected to other transport modes including bus and light rail services and closer to the Sydney CBD
- Avoid any potential construction impacts to the Anzac Bridge foundations at The Bays as a result of construction of the crossover cavern, by relocating the underground cavern excavation closer toward Pyrmont Station
- Removal of the crossover from The Bays would enable more efficient tunnel boring machine assembly activities at the Bays and reduce program risk at this location
- A reduction in non-TBM tunnelling to the project as a whole, due to the reduced size of the cavern required at the Pyrmont location, which reduces construction waste (spoil generation)
- Positive sustainability and cost savings through the net reduction in construction materials and waste.

The detailed design process has also identified an optimised tunnel alignment which includes minor tunnel realignments required for the Pyrmont crossover and between Pyrmont Station and Hunter Street Station (around Darling Harbour) to improve operational efficiency of the alignment. These realignments have been identified to reduce the length and curvature of the tunnel alignment. During operations, this would result in operational efficiency by improving speed potential. During construction, this results in a more efficient construction process and cost savings.

7. Environmental Benefit

The relocation of the crossover to Pyrmont would provide community benefits during operations as it would be a more suitable disembarkment location in the event of an emergency or degraded services (being closer to the Sydney CBD and more connected to other transport modes including bus and light rail services). The overall reduction of excavation required by relocating the crossover cavern to Pyrmont from The Bays would deliver positive sustainability outcomes through the net reduction in construction materials and waste, and would also reduce the timeframe of non-TBM tunnelling required.

8. Control Measures

Will a project and site specific EMP be prepared?	☒ Yes ☐ No	**Are appropriate control measures already identified in an existing EMP?** A project and site specific EMP would be prepared by John Holland CPB Contractors Ghella Joint Venture (JCG). The EMP will be prepared in accordance with the relevant conditions of approval and project mitigation measures and include the appropriate control measures for the activities described within this Consistency Assessment. All work will be undertaken in accordance with the control measures outline in the project and site specific EMP.	☐ Yes ☒ No

9. Conditions of approval

Will the proposal be consistent with the conditions of approval?	☒ Yes. The proposal would be consistent with the conditions of approval. The proposal would also be consistent with the proposed Modification Request (Mod 1) which is subject to determination by the NSW Department of Planning and Environment.
	☐ No

10. Impact Assessment – Construction

Aspect	Nature and extent of impacts (negative and positive) during construction (if control measures implemented) of the proposed change, relative to the relevant impact in the Approved Project	addition to project CoA and REMMs	Minimal Impact Y/N	Endorsed	
				Y/N	Comments
Flora and fauna	As the proposed changes would be located underground and the surface level approved Pyrmont Station construction sites would not change, the proposed changes would not result in any additional impacts to flora and fauna.	No additional measures required.	Y	Y	

Water	**Surface water and flooding** As the proposed changes including the crossover and the tunnel realignment would be located underground and the surface level approved Pyrmont Station construction sites would not change, the proposed changes would not result in any changes to the flooding or surface water quality impacts described in the EIS for the Approved Project. **Groundwater - Tunnel alignment** The areas of realignment of the tunnel would be excavated by tunnel boring machines which would not result in any changes to the groundwater impacts described in the EIS for the Approved Project as tunnels would be tanked (restricting groundwater inflow) almost immediately following tunnelling by tunnel boring machine. **Groundwater – Pyrmont crossover** The addition of the crossover cavern at Pyrmont has the potential to result in surrounding groundwater in the Hawkesbury Sandstone to flow towards the excavation in this location, until it is tanked (concrete lined). The inflow of groundwater into the cavern is expected to be minimal and would meet the allowable inflow criteria, as sealing of the cavern would occur progressively restricting the open area allowing inflows. The crossover cavern in this location also reduces the potential intersection with the Great Sydney Dyke in proximity to previous location to the east of The Bays Station. Section 14.6.9 of the EIS for the Approved Project outlines that interactions between surface water and groundwater due to tunnelling activities are not expected to occur at Pyrmont due to the depth of tunnels and absence of natural surface streams. Therefore, there are no anticipated changes from the Approved Project. Any potential impacts would be managed in accordance with the mitigation measures identified in the EIS for the Approved Project and Condition of Approval D101 which requires the preparation of a Groundwater Modelling Report before bulk excavation.	No additional measures required.	Y	Y

Soils and contamination	Assessment of potential acid sulfate soils, saline soils and contamination was carried out in the EIS for the approved footprint and surrounding areas. **Tunnel alignment** Potential impacts from contamination for the tunnel alignment were assessed as low or very low and given the minor realignment changes, this proposal is expected to have no additional impacts to soils and contamination associated with the tunnel alignment changes. **Pyrmont crossover** Groundwater beneath and around the Pyrmont Station construction sites was assigned a moderate potential impact associated with the industrial land use and general historical activities carried out in the surrounding area. The closest area of environmental interest with moderate (or greater) contamination risk potential is located in proximity to the eastern construction site. There is also potential risk of acid sulfate soils in this area near the eastern construction site. The proposed crossover cavern location is not expected to encounter significantly different conditions or result in significantly different impacts to those already identified (and managed as required) for the Approved Project.	No additional measures required.	Y	Y	
Air quality	Given that the proposed changes would be located underground and that there would be no substantial changes to plant and equipment, there would be no substantial changes to the air quality impacts of the proposed changes compared with that for the Approved Project.	No additional measures required.	Y	Y	

Aboriginal heritage	As the proposed changes would be located underground and the surface level approved construction sites would not change, the proposed changes would not result in any Aboriginal heritage impacts not described for the Approved Project. The proposed crossover cavern would be at a depth where no Aboriginal heritage objects or sites would be encountered.	No additional measures required.	Y	Y	
Non-Aboriginal heritage	**Tunnel alignment** The potential impacts of the Approved Project to heritage items located within the 25m buffer of the tunnel alignment were provided in Chapter 8 Non-Aboriginal Heritage of the EIS and Technical Paper 3 Non-Aboriginal Heritage. It was identified that the tunnel sections between stations would generally be too deep to affect heritage items or archaeological deposits. As the proposal does not involve a substantial change to the depth (or location) of the tunnels, the potential non-Aboriginal heritage impacts associated with the proposal are consistent with the Approved Project. **Pyrmont crossover** The Pyrmont crossover would be located underneath the Pyrmont Heritage Conservation Area – SLEP 2012 Item no. C52 and in proximity to a number of other local heritage listed items as outlined in Technical Paper 3 Non-Aboriginal Heritage of the EIS. As the works would be located underground and the surface level approved Pyrmont Station construction sites would not change, the proposed changes would not result in any visual (and indirect) heritage impacts. The detailed noise and vibration technical assessment did not identify any exceedances of the 7.5 mm/s Peak Particle Velocity cosmetic damage screening criteria at any properties or heritage buildings in the vicinity of the crossover at Pyrmont. The risk of structural damage is considered low during the Pyrmont crossover cavern excavation, which is consistent with the predicted vibration impacts assessed in the EIS.	No additional measures required.	Y	Y	

	It has therefore been assessed that there would be no additional adverse direct or indirect impacts to the significant heritage items within the 25m buffer zone around the Pyrmont crossover cavern location and above the tunnel alignment. There would be no increase in cumulative impacts as a result of the proposed change in scope. The mitigation measures outlined in the Technical Paper 3 – Non-Aboriginal heritage would remain applicable for the works proposed in this Consistency Assessment.				
Noise and vibration	**Tunnel alignment – noise and vibration impacts** Construction noise and vibration impacts from tunnelling activities were assessed in Chapter 7 and Technical Paper 2 of the EIS. Given the minor tunnel realignment required (as the maximum realignment is of about 16 metres) the extent of potential noise and vibration impacts is not expected to materially change from the Approved Project. The tunnel boring machines are expected to progress at a rate of between 20 to 50 metres per day. This means that the worst-case ground-borne noise and vibration impacts from tunnelling at a receiver would likely only be apparent for a few days for each tunnel boring machine as the tunnelling works pass beneath. **Pyrmont crossover cavern: ground-borne noise impacts** A detailed noise and vibration technical assessment has been prepared by the construction contractor to assess the noise and vibration impacts using the preferred construction methodology for the excavation of the crossover cavern at Pyrmont. This detailed noise and vibration technical assessment therefore contains a more refined assessment of the predicted vibration levels when compared to the more conservative assumptions in the EIS (refer to Attachment A for the assessment). The assessment provided in Appendix A concludes:	No additional Measures required. Mitigation and management measures to minimise noise and vibration impacts from the Approved Project are identified in Technical Paper 2 (Noise and vibration) of the EIS.	Y	Y	

	• that worst-case ground-borne noise levels during the construction of the Pyrmont crossover are predicted to comply with the relevant NML at receivers for daytime standard hours • the crossover cavern at this location to be excavated by non-TBM tunnelling would impact fewer receivers and have a lower noise impact than those expected to be experienced by TBM tunnelling in the same location. However, the duration of construction by non-TBM tunnelling would mean the noise impacts would likely be experienced for a longer duration when compared to tunnelling by TBM. • noise impacts associated with excavation of the crossover cavern would be consistent with those outlined in the EIS and would be effectively managed in accordance with the CNVS. • the noise and vibration assessment in the EIS for the approved project assessed TBM tunnelling as worst-case construction method in terms of potential ground-borne noise and vibration impact. The potential noise impact of tunnelling by TBM in the crossover location in the EIS (at The Bays) would therefore be consistent with the noise and vibration assessment for the Approved Project, which did not identify any impacted receivers in The Bays study area. *Out of hours works* As discussed in Section 1 and Section 2 of this Consistency Assessment, Sydney Metro have submitted a Modification Request to the Department of Planning and Environment to enable non-TBM tunnelling to be permitted 24 hours a day, seven days a week. This would align with the assessment provided in the EIS for the Approved Project and is consistent with the construction of all recent tunnel projects in Sydney including Sydney Metro West - Major civil construction between Westmead and The Bays. The benefits of undertaking tunnelling outside of standard construction hours would:				

	• ensure the stability of the excavation, minimise potential ground movement and settlement and make the excavation safe for construction workers • non-TBM tunnelling works being restricted to daytime would result in a substantial program delay to Sydney Metro West, including to the opening of the line to passenger services. This would have flow on impacts including prolonged construction impacts and disruption for receivers across the whole Sydney Metro West alignment and the later realisation of the substantial operational benefits of Sydney Metro West • the detailed noise and vibration assessments being undertaken along the Sydney Metro West alignment have confirmed that non-TBM tunnelling would result in lower worst-case ground-borne noise levels than those produced by TBMs • non-TBM tunnelling out of standard hours can be effectively managed through application of the Sydney Metro CNVS. The detailed noise and vibration technical assessment for the Pyrmont crossover cavern (Attachment A) assessed the potential noise impacts for out of hours works. The assessment identified the potential worst-case ground-borne noise impacts would result in 1-10 dB exceedances of the NMLs for the daytime period outside of standard hours (i.e. between 8am and 6pm on Sundays and on public holidays), evening and night time periods for: • up to 16 receivers during day time outside of standard hours (note: this represents a reduction in the number of impacted receivers compared to the TBM tunnelling in this area assessed in the EIS. TBM tunnelling is this area was predicted to result in potential 1-10dB NML exceedances at up to 56 receivers during this period) • up to 16 receivers during the evening period (note: this represents a reduction in the number of				

	impacted receivers compared to the TBM tunnelling in this area assessed in the EIS. TBM tunnelling is this area was predicted to result in potential 1-10dB NML exceedances at up to 56 receivers during this period) • up to 50 receivers during night time period (note: this represents a reduction in the number of impacted receivers compared to the TBM tunnelling in this area assessed in the EIS. TBM tunnelling is this area was predicted to result in potential 1-10dB NML exceedances at up to 91 receivers and potential 11-20dB exceedances at up to seven receivers during this period). The crossover cavern at this location to be excavated by non-TBM tunnelling would therefore impact fewer receivers and have a lower noise level than those expected to be experienced by TBM tunnelling in the same location. Should the Modification Request be approved by the Department of Planning and Environment, Sydney Metro would undertake the excavation of the Pyrmont crossover cavern outside of standard construction hours to realise the safety and program benefits outlined above. *Cumulative impacts* The worst-case potential noise impacts to any receiver above the cavern are expected to last for around six to 12 weeks, assuming the works would be undertaken outside of standard construction hours. Some receivers in proximity to the Pyrmont crossover cavern adjacent to the station cavern may experience impacts for a longer duration as a result of additional non-TBM tunnelling, however the impacts are similarly expected to remain for around six to 12 weeks. These worst-case potential noise impacts could occur to any receiver for up to nine months if works were restricted to standard construction hours only. These potential impacts would be mitigated and managed through the measures and processes outlined in the CVNS.				

	Pyrmont crossover cavern: vibration impacts The detailed noise and vibration technical assessment in Appendix A has been prepared by the construction contractor to assess the vibration impacts using the preferred construction methodology for this proposed change. This technical assessment therefore contains a more refined assessment of the predicted vibration levels when compared to the more conservative assumptions in the EIS. The detailed noise and vibration technical assessment confirms that vibration levels from the excavation of the crossover cavern are predicted to be below the human comfort criteria and the cosmetic damage screening level at receivers in the vicinity of the crossover cavern. It has therefore been assessed that there would be no additional vibration impacts to receivers around the Pyrmont crossover cavern location and above the tunnel alignment than those identified in the EIS for the Approved Project. The vibration assessment in the EIS for the approved project assessed TBM tunnelling as worst-case construction method in terms of potential ground-borne vibration impact. The potential vibration impact of tunnelling by TBM in the crossover location in the EIS (at The Bays) would therefore be consistent with the vibration assessment for the Approved Project, which did not identify any impacted receivers with an exceedance of the vibration criteria in The Bays study area.				

Community and socio-economic	Consistent with the construction of the station cavern at Pyrmont, the worst-case potential noise impacts to any receiver above the Pyrmont crossover cavern are expected to last for around six to 12 weeks, assuming the works would be undertaken outside of standard construction hours (consistent with the assessment in the EIS for the Approved Project). These worst-case potential noise impacts could occur to any receiver for up to nine months if works were restricted to standard construction hours only. Potential noise impacts would be managed in accordance with the CNVS. As described above, the crossover cavern at this location to be excavated by non-TBM tunnelling would impact fewer receivers and have a lower noise level than those expected to be experienced by TBM tunnelling in the same location. The overall impact associated with the proposed changes on receivers would be minimal, and consistent with the impacts outlined in the EIS for the Approved Project. There would also be no substantial changes to traffic, land use and property, landscape and visual amenity and air quality as a result of the proposed changes. As a result, there would be no substantial changes to the community and socio-economic impacts of the proposed changes compared with that for the Approved Project.	No additional Measures required.	Y	Y

Traffic and transport	The proposed crossover cavern works at Pyrmont Station construction sites would result in: • No changes to the maximum heavy vehicle movements from the eastern construction site than those assessed in the Response to Submissions Report for the Approved Project • A maximum of 16 heavy vehicle movements per hour would be required during the daytime for Phase 3 works from the western construction site, which would include crossover cavern excavation. There would also be fewer heavy vehicle movements in the morning peak, consistent with the Phase 1 and Phase 2 works. The maximum heavy vehicle movements required for each hour would be consistent with the Phase 2 works (western site) as assessed in the Response to Submissions Report for the Approved Project • Reduced total number of construction vehicles required at The Bays. In summary, whilst there is an increase in vehicle movements at the Pyrmont Station western construction site during the Phase 3 works, the numbers are consistent with the daily and hourly maximum vehicles assessed within the Response to Submissions Report for the Approved Project. As a result, there would be no substantial changes to the traffic impacts of the proposed changes compared with that for the Approved Project.	No additional Measures required.	Y	Y

Waste and resource management	The total spoil generation amount for the crossover cavern at Pyrmont would be approximately 40,000m^3. Due to the shorter crossover cavern, the proposal would provide a reduction in construction waste (spoil generation) by around 15,000m^3 and permanent materials use such as concrete for permanent works by over 5,000m^3 (subject to final design) compared to the crossover cavern at The Bays in the Approved Project. The reduction has a direct benefit in reducing the carbon footprint that would be generated through resource consumption and spoil transport movement, which is a positive outcome for the project.	No additional Measures required.			
Visual	As the proposed changes would be located underground and the surface level approved Pyrmont Station construction sites would not change, the proposed changes would not result in any visual impacts.	No additional Measures required.	Y	Y	
Land use and property	As the proposed changes would be located underground and the surface level approved Pyrmont Station construction sites would not change, the proposed changes would not result in any additional land use impacts. Minor changes to substratum property acquisitions may be required as a result of the proposed changes as a result of the crossover cavern location and the minor tunnel realignment, however the amount of substratum to be required is expected to be consistent with that identified in the EIS for the Approved Project. The process of substratum acquisition for the proposed changes would be consistent with that for the Approved Project as described	No additional Measures required.	Y	Y	
Hazard and risk	Given that the proposed changes would be located underground and that there would be no substantial changes to traffic volumes and plant and equipment, there would be no substantial changes to the hazard and risk impacts of the proposed changes compared with that for the Approved Project.	No additional Measures required.	Y	Y	

Sustainability	The proposed change provides sustainability benefits through a net reduction in crossover cavern length by approximately 77 metres, which would achieve a reduction in excavation quantity and a reduction in permanent materials use such as concrete, by virtue of being deconstructed on a flatter grade with improved rail alignment in its relocated position. Sydney Metro West would continue to be managed in accordance with the Sydney Metro West Sustainability Plan.	No additional Measures required.	Y	Y	
Business impacts	As described above, there would be no substantial changes to traffic, noise, land use and property, landscape and visual amenity and air quality as a result of the proposed changes. As a result, there would be no substantial impacts to businesses from reduced amenity. Given that the proposed changes would be located underground and that there would be no additional businesses impacted, there would be no substantial changes to the business impacts of the proposed changes compared with that for the Approved Project.	No additional Measures required.	Y	Y	

11. Impact Assessment – Operation

Stage 2 of the planning application for Sydney Metro West (subject of this Consistency Assessment) is for major civil construction work for Sydney Metro West between The Bays and Sydney CBD. At this stage, measures to avoid or minimise impacts have been developed only for major civil construction work for Sydney Metro West between The Bays and Sydney CBD – which involves construction only. Impacts applicable to the operational aspects of Sydney Metro West including operation stage environmental mitigation measures are subject to the Sydney Metro West - Rail infrastructure, stations, precincts and operations (Stage 3) planning application which is currently under assessment by the Department of Planning and Environment. Operational impacts associated with the proposed change will be assessed separately following approval of Stage 3 (SSI-22765520). An assessment of the crossover cavern and tunnel realignment at a conceptual level has been undertaken in this assessment.

Aspect	Nature and extent of impacts (negative and positive) during operation (if control measures implemented) of the proposed change, relative to the relevant impact in the Approved Project	Proposed Control Measures in addition to project COA and REMMs	Minimal Impact Y/N	Endorsed	
				Y/N	Comments
Flora and fauna	The Approved Project covers the major civil construction between The Bays and Sydney CBD and this Consistency Assessment relates to the potential construction impacts of these proposed changes. Given the changes are located underground, and groundwater drawdown would be negligible during operation as the tunnels would be fully lined, it is anticipated that there would be no changes to the impacts to flora and fauna (including groundwater dependent ecosystems).	Where relevant, proposed control measures would be identified through a separate assessment of consistency following approval of SSI-22765520.	N/A	Y	

Water	The Approved Project covers the major civil construction between The Bays and Sydney CBD and this Consistency Assessment relates to the potential construction impacts of these proposed changes. Given the changes are located underground, no impacts to surface water or flooding are anticipated during operations. Groundwater drawdown would be negligible during operation as the tunnels would be lined to minimise groundwater inflow, reducing potential groundwater impacts.	Where relevant, proposed control measures would be identified through a separate assessment of consistency following approval of SSI-22765520.	N/A	Y	
Soils and contamination	The Approved Project covers the major civil construction between The Bays and Sydney CBD and this Consistency Assessment relates to the potential construction impacts of these proposed changes. Given the changes are located underground, no impacts to soils and contamination are anticipated during operations.	Where relevant, proposed control measures would be identified through a separate assessment of consistency following approval of SSI-22765520.	N/A	Y	
Air quality	The Approved Project covers the major civil construction between The Bays and Sydney CBD and this Consistency Assessment relates to the potential construction impacts of these proposed changes. Given the changes are located underground, no impacts to air quality are anticipated during operations.	Where relevant, proposed control measures would be identified through a separate assessment of consistency following approval of SSI-22765520.	N/A	Y	

Noise and vibration	The Approved Project covers the major civil construction between The Bays and Sydney CBD and this Consistency Assessment relates to the potential construction impacts of these proposed changes. Given the minor tunnel realignment required (as the maximum realignment is of about 16 metres) the extent of potential noise and vibration impacts is not expected to materially change from the Approved Project. The location of the Pyrmont crossover cavern is at a similar depth to the tunnel alignment assessed as part of the EIS for the Approved Project. Therefore, it is anticipated that operational noise impacts associated with the crossover and the tunnel realignments can be appropriately managed to achieve compliance with the applicable guidelines.	Where relevant, proposed control measures would be identified through a separate assessment of consistency following approval of SSI-22765520.	N/A	Y	
Aboriginal heritage	The Approved Project covers the major civil construction between The Bays and Sydney CBD and this Consistency Assessment relates to the potential construction impacts of these proposed changes. Given the changes are located underground, no impacts to Aboriginal heritage are anticipated during operations.	Where relevant, proposed control measures would be identified through a separate assessment of consistency following approval of SSI-22765520.	N/A	Y	
Non-Aboriginal heritage	The Approved Project covers the major civil construction between The Bays and Sydney CBD and this Consistency Assessment relates to the potential construction impacts of these proposed changes. Given the changes are located underground, no impacts to heritage are anticipated during operations.	Where relevant, proposed control measures would be identified through a separate assessment of consistency following approval of SSI-22765520.	N/A	Y	

Community and socio-economic	The Approved Project covers the major civil construction between The Bays and Sydney CBD and this Consistency Assessment relates to the potential construction impacts of these proposed changes. The relocation of the crossover to Pyrmont would provide a more suitable disembarkment location for customers in the event of degraded mode operations or an emergency evacuation, as the site is more connected to other transport modes including bus and light rail services and closer to the Sydney CBD. No additional impacts to nearby residents or businesses are anticipated.	Where relevant, proposed control measures would be identified through a separate assessment of consistency following approval of SSI-22765520.	N/A	Y	
Traffic and transport	The Approved Project covers the major civil construction between The Bays and Sydney CBD and this Consistency Assessment relates to the potential construction impacts of these proposed changes. The relocation of the crossover to Pyrmont would provide a more suitable disembarkment location for customers in the event of degraded mode operations or an emergency evacuation, as the site is more connected to other transport modes including bus and light rail services and closer to the Sydney CBD. No additional impacts on the local road, active transport or public transport networks are anticipated.	Where relevant, proposed control measures would be identified through a separate assessment of consistency following approval of SSI-22765520.	N/A	Y	
Waste and resource management	The Approved Project covers the major civil construction between The Bays and Sydney CBD and this Consistency Assessment relates to the potential construction impacts of these proposed changes. Given the changes are located underground, no impacts to waste and resource management are anticipated during operations.	Where relevant, proposed control measures would be identified through a separate assessment of consistency following approval of SSI-22765520.	N/A	Y	

Visual and urban design	The Approved Project covers the major civil construction between The Bays and Sydney CBD and this Consistency Assessment relates to the potential construction impacts of these proposed changes. Given the changes are located underground, no impacts to landscape and visual amenity are anticipated during operations. Given the changes are located underground, no impacts to landscape and visual amenity are anticipated during operations.	Where relevant, proposed control measures would be identified through a separate assessment of consistency following approval of SSI-22765520.	N/A	Y	
Land use and property	The Approved Project covers the major civil construction between The Bays and Sydney CBD and this Consistency Assessment relates to the potential construction impacts of these proposed changes. Given the changes are located underground, no impacts to land use and property are anticipated during operations.	Where relevant, proposed control measures would be identified through a separate assessment of consistency following approval of SSI-22765520.	N/A	Y	
Hazard and risk	The Approved Project covers the major civil construction between The Bays and Sydney CBD and this Consistency Assessment relates to the potential construction impacts of these proposed changes. The relocation of the crossover to Pyrmont has been identified as the preferred location along the alignment for the Approved Project as it would provide a more suitable disembarkment location for customers in the event of degraded mode operations or an emergency evacuation.	Where relevant, proposed control measures would be identified through a separate assessment of consistency following approval of SSI-22765520.	N/A	Y	
Other	The Approved Project covers the major civil construction between The Bays and Sydney CBD and this Consistency Assessment relates to the potential construction impacts of these proposed changes. Subject to separate assessment of consistency, no major impacts to other environmental elements assessed in the Concept EIS are anticipated.	Where relevant, proposed control measures would be identified through a separate assessment of consistency following approval of SSI-22765520.	N/A	Y	

12. Consistency with the Approved Project

Question	Response
Is the project (including the proposed changes) consistent with the conditions of approval?	Yes. The proposed works would be consistent with the conditions of approval. As discussed in Section 1 and Section 2 of this Consistency Assessment, Sydney Metro has submitted a Modification Request to the Department of Planning and Environment to enable non-TBM tunnelling to be permitted 24 hours a day, seven days a week. This would align with the assessment provided in the EIS for the Approved Project and is consistent with the construction of all recent tunnel projects in Sydney including Sydney Metro West - Major civil construction between Westmead and The Bays. This would ensure the stability of the excavation, minimise potential ground movement and settlement and make the excavation safe for construction workers. It would also minimise potential program delays to Sydney Metro West, including to the opening of the line to passenger services. The detailed noise and vibration technical assessment for the crossover cavern at this location to be excavated by non-TBM tunnelling would impact fewer receivers and have a lower noise level than those expected to be experienced by TBM tunnelling in the same location. Should the Modification Request be approved by the Department of Planning and Environment, Sydney Metro would undertake the excavation of the Pyrmont crossover cavern outside of standard construction hours to realise the safety and program benefits outlined above. Impacts associated with out of hours non-TBM tunnelling for the Pyrmont crossover cavern would be consistent with those assessed in the EIS for the Approved Project.
Is the project (including the proposed changes) consistent with the objectives and functions of elements of the Approved Project?	Yes. The changes identified in this assessment are consistent with the objectives and functions of the elements of the Approved Project. The purpose of the proposed revised alignment and the relocated crossover is to improve future operational ability of the Sydney Metro West line, and is considered consistent with the objectives and functions of the Approved Project.
Are the environmental impacts of the proposed change consistent with the impacts of the Approved Project?	Yes. The revised tunnel alignment and relocation of the crossover cavern to Pyrmont would result in some minor changes to the impacts as assessed in the EIS and Submissions Report for the Approved Project, however the level of impact would remain consistent. Potential impacts to receivers would be adequately addressed through the application of the mitigation measures provided in the Environmental Impact Statement, Submissions Report, and the Instrument of Approval.
Is the change within the envelope of what has been approved?	Yes. The proposed changes would be located underground, and the surface level approved Pyrmont construction sites would not change. The proposed crossover cavern would be located within tunnel alignment required for the Approved Project, which has undergone a minor design review to improve construction and operational efficiencies. This cavern would be an extension of the cavern required for Pyrmont Station and would remain as a permanent excavation required to support operation of the station. The proposed revised tunnel alignment is shown in Figure 5. The length and depth of the revised tunnels would be generally consistent with the Approved Project. The proposed realignment would be generally within the Approved Project corridor. Chapter 5 of the EIS notes that the tunnel alignment is indicative and subject to design development and construction planning. The proposed changes are therefore considered to be consistent with the Approved Project.

Question	Response
Are there any new environmental impacts as a result of the proposed works/project changes?	The proposed works would not result in any new environmental impacts beyond those considered in the Approved Project. The proposed changes would have negligible or minor environmental impacts relative to the impact of the Approved Project. All impacts identified for the proposed change would be adequately mitigated through the application of the mitigation measures provided in the EIS, Submissions Report and conditions of approval.
Are the impacts of the proposed activity/works known and understood?	Yes. The impacts of the proposed works are understood and will be managed by implementing the control measures within this document, and relevant plans.
Are the impacts of the proposed activity/works able to be managed so as not to have an adverse impact?	Yes. The impacts of the proposed works can be managed so as to avoid an adverse impact.
Is the proposed change/s consistent with the approval (having regard to the above assessment)?	☒ Yes ☐ No

13. Other environmental approvals

Identify all other approvals required for the proposed works:	N/A

14. Recommendation

Based on the above impact assessment, and with reference to the Sydney Metro West Environmental Impact Statement – Major civil construction between The Bays and Sydney CBD (Sydney Metro, November 2021), Submissions Report (April 2022), and the conditions of approval, it is recommended that:

	Tick relevant box
The proposed change has negligible or more than negligible impacts on the environment or community however is consistent with the Approval , including the conditions of approval. The proposed impacts are consistent with those assessed for the Approved Project (i.e., does not trigger a change to the conditions of approval).	☒
The proposed change is not consistent with the Approved Project including the conditions of approval and would be subject to a separate modification application.	☐
The proposed change is not substantially the same as the Approved Project and is considered a radical transformation. A new planning pathway should be considered.	☐

Author certification

I certify that to the best of my knowledge this Consistency Checklist: • Examines and takes into account the fullest extent possible all matters affecting or likely to affect the environment as a result of activities associated with the proposed change; and • Examines the consistency of the proposed change with the Approved Project; is accurate in all material respects and does not omit any material information.			
Name:	Isabella Caruso and Jessie Strange	Signature:	Jessie Strange
Title:	Planning Officer / Planning Manager		
Company:	Sydney Metro	Date:	25/01/23

Assessment Supporting Signature

Application supported and submitted by			
Name:	Yvette Buchli	Date:	25/01/2023
Title:	Associate Director Planning Approvals	Comments:	
Signature:	YvetteBuchli		

Assessment Endorsement

Based on the above assessment, are the impacts and scope of the proposed change consistent with the existing Approved Project?

Yes ☒ The proposed change is consistent with the Approved Project and no further assessment is required.

No ☐ The proposed change is not consistent with the Approved Project.

A modification or a new activity approval/ consent is required. Advise Senior Project Manager of appropriate alternative planning approvals pathway to be undertaken.

Endorsed by			
Name:	Ben Armstrong	Date:	27 January 2023
Title:	Director Sydney Metro West, Environment, Sustainability and Planning	Comments:	
Signature:			

Appendix A – Detailed noise and vibration technical assessment: Pyrmont crossover cavern